

REMARKS

Summary of Office Action

Claims 1-12 are all the claims pending in the application. The Examiner has objected to the drawings as failing to identify Figs. 7 and 8 as PRIOR ART. Further, the Examiner has rejected the specification under § 112 (first paragraph) as failing to be written in “full, clear, concise and exact terms.” The Examiner has rejected claims 1-4 under § 112 (second paragraph) as being indefinite. Finally, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-4 as being anticipated by Panaro (U.S. Patent No. 4,661,807).

By way of this Amendment, Applicant has canceled claims 1-12 in favor of new claims 13 and 14. Applicant has also amended the specification and submitted corrected drawings. For the following reasons, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance.

Objection to the Drawings

To overcome this objection, Applicant submits herewith corrected drawings in which Figs. 7 and 8 have been identified as PRIOR ART.

Rejection of Specification

Essentially, the Examiner has objected to the specification as containing improper grammar. Applicant thanks the Examiner for carefully reviewing the specification and identifying those portions of the specification which are deemed improper. It is submitted that the above amendments to the specification overcomes this rejection.

Rejection of claims 1-4 under § 112 (second paragraph)

In view of the cancellation of claims 1-4, this rejection is now moot.

New Claims 13 and 14 patentably distinguish over Panaro

Claim 13 is directed to a fuse cavity structure, comprising:

a housing provided with a plurality of fuse attachment portions divided by partition walls, a large-sized fuse and a small-sized fuse shorter than the large-sized fuse being attachable to the fuse attachment portions,

wherein the fuse attachment portions are adapted to accommodate the whole small-sized fuse, and

wherein a part of the partition wall which is opposed to a part of the small-sized fuse accommodated in the fuse attachment portion is cut to form a notch to communicate with adjacent fuse accommodating portions from each other.

Applicant respectfully submits that Panaro fails to disclose a plurality of fuse attachment portions to which a large-sized fuse and a small-sized fuse shorter than the large-sized fuse are attachable. Rather, Panaro only discloses a single kind of fuse (i.e., one size) attached to the fuse attachment portion.

Further, Panaro fails to disclose that a notch is formed at a part of the partition wall opposed to a part of the small-sized fuse accommodated in the fuse attachment portion.

Accordingly, it is submitted that claim 13 patentably distinguishes over Panaro and that claim 14 is patentable for the same reasons as claim 13.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Brian W. Hannon/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON DC SUGHRUE/265550

65565

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Brian W. Hannon
Registration No. 32,778

Date: March 13, 2008